

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

United STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE			•
10/723,421		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
75	11/26/2003	James A. Nolen	1387.010USU	2681
George W. Rai	uchfuss Ir		EXAMINER ROWAN, KURT C	
Ohlandt, Greele Tenth Floor	y Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P.			
One Landmank Square			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Stamford, CT	06901-2682		3643	
·			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004	
			•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Particle   Particle		Application No.		•
Examiner   Kurt Rowan   Art Unit   3643			Applicant(s)	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Functions of time may be variable used as the score of the property of the state of the score of the property of the state of the score of the property of the state of the score of the property of the state of the score of the property of the state of the score of the property of the state of the score of the property of the state of the score of th	Office Action Summary			4
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE				
A STOUR LENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	The MAILING DATE of this communication in	appears on the cover sheet	3643	
after SN. (6) MOXITIS from the mailing date of the account of 3 C.FR. 1.136(pt.). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled when the production of	A SHORTENED STATUTOR	and do not direct W	un the correspondence addr	ess
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    \( \) \( \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) \\   \) \( \) \( \) Notice of Orafisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) \\   \) \( \) \( \) Paper No(s)/Mail Date \\   \) \( \) \( \) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) \\   \) \( \) \( \) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) \\   \) \( \)	THE MAILING. DATE-OF-THIS COMMUNICATION  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after Stx (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period for reply the office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE  1. 136(a). In no event, however, may a ne perply within the statutory minimum of thin dividing and will expire SIX (6) Mon tries, cause the application to become AB ling date of this communication, even if the state of the second se	MONTH(S) FROM  Phy be timely filed  ( 30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the malting date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  mely filed, may reduce any	unication.
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   A   Interview Summary (PTO-413)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the consequence of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign processes of the priority documents of the consequence of the priority documents of the consequence of the priority documents of the consequence of the priority documents application from the laternational form the laternational consequence of the priority application from the laternation	epted or b) objected to by, drawing(s) be held in abeyance, on is required if the drawing(s) is aminer. Note the attached of priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 have been received. The have been received in Applications of the priority documents have been received.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 fice Action or form PTO-152 9(a)-(d) or (f). cation No sived in this National Stage	21(d). 2.
Office Action Summer	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper, No(s)/Mail Date	5). Notice of Informal		-
Part of Pares No. 20 Part	Office Action	Summary	1-1-10	

Application/Control Number: 10/743,421

Art Unit: 3643

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 5, "lease" should be –least–. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wigton et al. in view of Admitted Prior Art.

The patent to Wigton shows an insect attracting trap and the process for attracting insects to the trap by producing a gaseous product of carbon dioxide and providing the carbon dioxide gas to the insect trap to lure insects to the trap and destroy them.

Wigton employs a burner to burn a carbon based fuel which produces carbon dioxide and water as the products of combustion. Wigton also employs an enclosed housing 16, 18, having an inlet 32 for entry of air into the housing and a discharge outlet 48 for discharge of an insect-attracting gaseous product 50 to attract insects to the trap 34. the burner acts as an element in the housing for treating air to produce a gaseous mixture containing carbon dioxide ( and probably some ozone since combustion is never complete). On pages 7-8 of the specification in paragraph [0011], applicant

Art Unit: 3643

states that the ozone generator is known and that the activated carbon filter is also known. Hence, in reference to claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, it would have been obvious to provide Wigton with a known ozone generator and carbon filter to produce carbon dioxide as shown by the Admitted Prior Art since merely one source of carbon dioxide is being substituted for another and the function is the same. In reference to claims 3, 10, Applicant states on page 8 of the specification that corona discharge ozone producing units are old and well known. In reference to claim 4, Wigton discloses heat as a further insect attractant and element 34 for retaining and destroying insects attracted to the trap. In reference to claim 7, Admitted Prior Art on page 8 discloses that the corona discharge unit employees electrodes. In reference to claims 11-12, Wigton shows an inlet for entry of air into the unit and a discharge outlet for discharge of the insect attracting gaseous product from the unit as discussed in reference to claim 1, above. Wigton further shows a fan 38 for causing entry of air into the unit though the inlet and discharge of the insect attracting gaseous product through the discharge outlet as discussed above. In reference to claims 13-16, Wigton as modified the Admitted Prior Art, discloses a process of providing to an insect trap a gaseous product produced by the process of claim 1. In reference to claims 17-21, Wigton discloses the process of providing an insect trap in an area to be inhabited by insects and operating the device to produce the insect attracting gaseous product.

Art Unit: 3643

#### Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Bossler, Spiro, Durand, Winner, Askin, Lin, and Nolen show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Rowan whose telephone number is 703 308-2321.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 703 308-2574. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kurt Rowan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3643

KR